

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                            | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/036,458                                 | 03/06/1998  | MARIE ANGELOPOULOS   | YO998-086               | 5986             |  |
| 7590 04/19/2004                            |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| THOMAS A. BECK                             |             |                      | YOON, TAE H             |                  |  |
| 26 ROCKLEDGE LANE<br>NEW MILFORD, CT 06776 |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |             |                      | 1714                    | 1714             |  |
|  |             | ····et <sub>es</sub> | DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| - <b>1</b>  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/036,458  | ANGELOPOULOS ET AL.  |  |  |  |
| navious neutrino  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   | Tae H Yoon  | 1714   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |   |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH<br>Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a<br>final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1<br>condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea<br>Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | void abandonment of this applice  I) a timely filed amendment whi   | cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in   |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | PLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv</li> </ul>   | •   | . And the second of the second |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE<br>te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>sion and the corresponding amount of the<br>statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:   |  |  |  |  |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or  | n better form for appeal by mate  | erially reducing or simplifying the.   |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: see attachment.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec   | tion(s):  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | be allowable if submitted in a s  | eparate, timely filed amendment  |  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see  | r reconsideration has been cons<br><u>e attachment</u> .  | sidered but does NOT place the   |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | cause it is not directed SOLELY   | to issues which were newly   |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   |   |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: 20  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19,21 and 22</u> .  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23 and 24.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximatel | roved or b) disapproved by  | the Examiner.  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer  | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  |  |  |  |  |
| 0. Other:   | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Tooblepoon   |  |  |  |
|   | _   | Tae H Yoon<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 1714   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/036,458

Art Unit: 1714

## ATTACHMENT TO ADVISORY ACTION

Amended claims 1 and 22 reciting "conjugated polymer" and "doping" raise new issues that would require further consideration and search and thus the entry is denied. New claim 23 recites "doping" and proposed claim 24, which is not an official claim, recites "conjugated polymer", "deaggregation" and "doping", and thus the entry is further denied. Recitation of any limitation found in the specification into claims after Final rejection is not permitted. Incorrect designation of claims such as "proposed" is not solely a matter of form, and contrary to applicant's assertion claim 24 still recites "proposed".

All rejections are maintained.

With respect to New Matter rejection of claim 22, the teaching on page 20, lines 18-21 showing a conductivity of 100 S/cm for a 0.5 to 2% solution and a conductivity of 200 S/cm for above 3% solution shows the maximized electrical conductivity per given concentration, not choosing a selected value of an electrical conductivity contrary to applicant's assertion.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1714

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tae H Yoon
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714

THY/April 9, 2004